UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/756,906	01/10/2001	Errol O. Kendall	1986.002.00	6340
30827 7590 06/14/2007 MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW			EXAMINER	
			HAVAN, THU THAO	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3691	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	A 12 14 - >		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/756,906	KENDALL ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Thu Thao Havan	3691		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133)		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 M 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under M	s action is non-final.  ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4)  Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-55 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	er.  cepted or b) objected to by the feedrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
	Adminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

#### **Detailed Action**

## Response to Amendment

Claims 1-55 are pending. This action is in response to the RCE received May 9, 2007

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-55 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ogawa et al. (US 2001/0023404).

Re claims 1, 15 26, Ogawa teaches a method of processing a financial product (abstract) comprising: receiving a request for a financial product and information including risk (para. 0016; Ogawa displays an insurance. Insurance is promise of reimbursement in the case of loss; paid to people or companies so concerned about Art Unit: 3691

hazards "risks" that they have made prepayments to an insurance company) about a party requesting the financial product (fig. 3, element 100); preparing a bid solicitation for the financial product based on the request and information and transmitting the bid solicitation to a plurality of product carriers (fig. 14); at least one of the plurality of product carriers submitting a proposal for providing the financial product (para. 0011; fig. 14); and generating a rating corresponding to the proposal (para. 0016; fig. 14; Ogawa discloses Ogawa generates a rating corresponding to the proposal by provides up-to-date commodity information and rate quote). In other words, Ogawa discloses rating financial product by ranking insurance premium according to insurance vendors. A customer, consumer, shopper or user U1 or user U2 connects to the server via the Internet from a computer terminal and inputs a request for an insurance premium or rate quote from insurance companies A, B, and C. The user inputs conditions which are used by the insurance companies to calculate estimates or the premium. The insurance companies A, B, and C perform trial calculations corresponding to the trial-calculation conditions and return trial-calculation results. The returned trial-calculation results are displayed on the display screen of a computer terminal of the user U1 or U2. Thus, the user U1 or U2 can compare and examine insurance products and estimated premiums of the companies as per the displayed trial-calculation results. Thus, Ogawa makes it possible to obtain premium estimates of a plurality of insurance commodities based upon conditions that are input only once. Therefore, user convenience is improved by displaying the trial-calculation results so as to be easily comparable.

Application/Control Number: 09/756,906

Art Unit: 3691

Re claims **2**, **16**, **27**, Ogawa teaches providing the rating to the at least one product carrier; allowing the at least one product carrier to revise the proposal based on the rating; and the at least one of the product carriers providing a final proposal (<u>para. 0035</u>).

Re claims **3**, **17**, **21**, **23**, **33**, Ogawa teaches generating an appraisal for the proposal; and informing the at least one product carrier of a decision based on the appraisal (<u>para</u>. <u>0069</u>). Ogawa discloses informing one product carrier when he discloses the returning of trial-calculation result data is performed between a user and an insurance underwriter.

Re claim 4, Ogawa teaches plurality of product carriers each submits a proposal for providing the financial product (fig. 11). *In figure 11, a plurality of product carriers is disclosed.* 

Re claims **5**, **20**, and **28**, Ogawa teaches making an appraisal corresponding to each proposal from the product carriers; and selecting one of the product carriers based on the appraisal (<u>para. 0073</u>).

Re claims **6**, **13-14**, **22**, **41-42**, **47-49**, and **51-55**, Ogawa teaches generating a rating corresponding to each of the proposals from the product carriers (<u>para. 0035</u>); providing each rating to a corresponding one of the product carriers (<u>para.0016</u>); allowing each of the product carriers to revise the proposal based on the rating (<u>fig. 14</u>); each of the product carriers having an option to maintain the proposal or revise the proposal (<u>para.0046</u>); and providing a revised rating for each revised proposal (<u>para. 0049-0050</u>).

Re claims **7**, **10**, and **19**, Ogawa teaches making an appraisal corresponding to each of the ratings for the product carriers; and selecting one of the product carriers based on the

Application/Control Number: 09/756,906

Art Unit: 3691

appraisal (para. 0047). Ogawa discloses selecting the product carriers by accepting the appraisal proposal.

Re claims **8-9**, **11-12**, **24**, **29-30**, **34-35**, **38-40**, **45-46**, and **50**, Ogawa teaches financial product includes an insurance policy (para. 0004).

Re claims **18**, **31**, and **36**, Ogawa teaches appraisal includes a verbal characterization or a final numerical rating for each of the proposals from the product carriers (<u>fig. 13</u>). *In figure 13, Ogawa discloses numerical rating by rating company A, B, and C according to the standard price. For example, numerical rating is illustrated by company A has a standard price of \$1000, company B has a standard price of \$950, and company C has a standard price of \$875.* 

Re claim **32**, Ogawa teaches a method as claimed in claims 1 and 6. Therefore the rationale applied in the rejection of claim **32** applies herein.

Re claims **37** and **44**, Ogawa teaches a method as claimed in claims 1 and 6 except for the limitation an Internet Web site. Ogawa discloses Internet Web site in figure 1.

Therefore, the rationale applied in the rejection of claims 37 and 44 applies herein.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Thao Havan whose telephone number is (571) 272-8111. The examiner can normally be reached during her flextime schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 09/756,906

Art Unit: 3691

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-

Page 6

8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see <a href="http://pair-direct-uspto.gov">http://pair-direct-uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Thu Thao Havan

Art Unit: 3691

6/10/2007